

LGIM ESG score

We have developed a proprietary, rules-based approach to scoring companies from an environmental, social and governance (ESG) perspective. Through our transparent scoring methodology, we believe we can drive fundamental change in the market.



We recognise that environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors increasingly play a role in determining the performance of certain assets. An expanded set of analysis tools can help investors navigate risk and identify those companies that might succeed in a rapidly changing world.

Introducing our ESG score

The LGIM ESG score has been created and used for the following purposes:



To improve market standards globally and monitor ESG developments of our entire investment universe* using quantitative measures

- ESG scores help drive the engagement process that we undertake with investee companies to improve their ESG performance
- ESG scores are aligned with LGIM’s voting policy and principles. This means that for companies with poor ESG scores, we are more likely to vote against them at their Annual General Meetings (AGM)



To create investment solutions

- The scores are used in a range of equity and fixed income index funds at LGIM. The indices are alternatively weighted to give greater weight to companies that have higher ESG scores and less weight to those with lower scores
- The scores can also be used as a data input into the active investment process, combined with further detailed ESG analysis and fundamental research



To incentivise companies to improve their ESG profile through a transparent methodology

- Allowing companies to understand what the minimum standards in ESG are globally and how they can improve over time
- Publishing our ESG scores and encouraging companies to engage directly with data providers

We will regularly review and refine the ESG scores, as the availability and reliability of data improves over time. The proprietary nature of these scores means that we can adapt our approach as appropriate for different mandates or engagements.

* Investment universe covers around 17,000 companies



LGIM’s ESG scores were created to raise the bar on issues that can affect the entire investment universe. A given issue might not be equally important to every company in the short-term, but if collectively neglected may have a significant impact on the market, the economy and more broadly on society.

The result of this analysis of over 17,000 companies led to the choice of **30 ESG data points*** which are used in creating the LGIM ESG score.

*The number of metrics may increase as appropriate as underlying data improves

Methodology – how we select indicators and themes

The LGIM ESG score combines an **environmental** score, a **social** score and a **governance** score, with adjustments made for a company’s overall levels of **transparency** with regards to ESG issues.

Our methodology starts with an assessment of market-wide ESG issues that affect long-term returns and which we believe represent a risk if not addressed – such as climate change or the dilution of shareholder rights. Additionally, themes and risks were assessed for their effect on social cohesion – factors that over the long term underpin sustainable economic growth.

This focus on overall market health differentiates LGIM’s ESG score from many others in the market. For example, a commonly used option is to apply third-party ESG ratings to pick individual stocks, based on issues which may be material in one sector, but not in another (e.g. data privacy for tech companies, water usage in mining). By contrast, LGIM’s ESG score looks across all sectors and regions.

Using the scores, we have set out a transparent framework to assess companies, in order to avoid creating an investment ‘black box’ and enhance the role of investors to reward and penalise companies based on clear and consistent global standards.

Once material risks and opportunities were identified, potential data points were assessed to see if they are:



Available
Are companies in the investable universe reporting this information?



Quantifiable
Is the information available in a numerical format to be included in the scores?



Reliable
Is the data reported regularly to allow for comparison amongst all the relevant companies?

LGIM ESG score construction

The 30 key LGIM ESG metrics to monitor companies



LGIM ESG score

E

LGIM **E** score
(Environmental)

Environment

- 1. Carbon emissions intensity
- 2. Carbon reserve intensity
- 3. Green revenues
- 4. Temperature alignment
- 5. Biodiversity programme

S

LGIM **S** score
(Social)

Social diversity

- 6. Women on the board
- 7. Women at the executive level
- 8. Women in management
- 9. Women in the workforce

Human capital

- 10. Bribery and corruption policy
- 11. Freedom of association policy
- 12. Discrimination policy
- 13. Supply chain policy
- 14. Employee incidents
- 15. Business ethics incidents
- 16. Social supply chain incidents

G

LGIM **G** score
(Governance)

Board composition

- 17. Independent chair
- 18. Independent directors on the board
- 19. Board tenure

Audit oversight

- 20. Non-audit fees paid to auditors
- 21. Audit committee expertise
- 22. Audit opinion

Investor rights

- 23. Free Float
- 24. Equal voting rights

T

LGIM **T** score
(Transparency)

Transparency

- 25. ESG reporting standard
- 26. Verification of ESG reporting
- 27. Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP) disclosure
- 28. Tax disclosure
- 29. Director disclosure
- 30. Remuneration disclosure

LGIM E score

Theme: Environment

As a global investor, Legal & General Investment Management is committed to addressing climate change. We believe that companies across all sectors must adapt their business models for a sustainable future.

To address the climate crisis, the world has committed to limiting global warming to well below 2°C compared to the pre-industrial era, as part of the international Paris Agreement. This means that emissions of carbon dioxide – which are the leading cause of global warming – must eventually reach net zero over the next few decades. Therefore, it is essential that companies are encouraged to reduce their carbon emissions. Conversely, companies that are not taking action might represent an investment risk, as technological, regulatory and consumer pressures intensify. Our environment theme is comprised of three indicators:

Carbon emissions intensity

We consider the carbon dioxide emissions that a company produces directly ('Scope 1') or is indirectly responsible for through its purchased energy ('Scope 2'). The sum of these emissions is divided by the companies' enterprise value including cash ('EVIC'). This provides a measure of the carbon emissions intensity of a company's activities, adjusted by company size and applicable across different sectors. Data on indirect emissions from companies' supply chain and use of sold products ('Scope 3') is not used.

Companies whose carbon emissions intensity is less than the global median will receive a higher score, whereas companies with more carbon-intensive activities will receive a lower score.

Carbon emissions data is provided by ISS.


Carbon reserve intensity

Carbon reserves are reserves of fossil fuels (oil, coal and gas). Companies owning such reserves present investors with two long-term risks. First, if all known fossil fuel reserves were burnt, the associated carbon emissions would lead to a dramatic rise in global temperatures and extreme weather events. This would cause unprecedented disruption for companies' operations and supply chains, in addition to the significant human costs from forced migration, water stress and pressures on global food supply. The second risk, which is partly a reaction to the first, is that the value of fossil fuel assets may significantly reduce, due to the ongoing energy transition accelerated by policy and technological trends.

Companies with very large fossil fuel reserves or with very carbon-intensive reserves (e.g. coal, tar sands) are more at risk from this change.

This metric looks at the embedded carbon in the fossil fuel reserves owned by a company, divided by a company's market capitalisation, to adjust for company size. This represents a carbon reserves intensity score for a company.

Carbon reserves data is provided by ISS.



Green revenues

The transition to a low-carbon economy presents investment opportunities. New technologies are already leading to new revenue streams in sectors from agriculture to infrastructure and energy, with further innovation anticipated as the world develops alternatives to our current approach to energy and natural resources.

Companies who derive revenues from low-carbon services and technologies are assigned a green revenue score, in proportion to the percentage of company revenue derived from 'green' activities. This is applied as a positive uplift to the companies' score.

Companies that may have a lower score due to their exposure to carbon emissions are rewarded if they have revenue exposures to green sources. This is intended to encourage companies to drive innovation and provide solutions to the energy transition.

We follow our data provider's classification of green revenue streams, but exclude carbon trading, gas- and nuclear-related activities.

Currently, many companies' disclosures are not sufficiently granular enough to identify green revenue streams. We encourage companies to improve disclosures in this area.

Green revenues data is provided by HSBC.

1. [The Paris Agreement | UNFCCC](#)
2. [COP26: What was agreed at the Glasgow climate conference? - BBC News](#)

Temperature alignment

Under the Paris Agreement in 2015, many governments around the world agreed to limit global warming to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels.¹ Current plans still point towards a 2.4°C trajectory and at COP26 in November 2021, it was acknowledged that stronger action is required to avoid a 'climate catastrophe'.²

Temperature alignment (TA) is a forward-looking measure of a company's carbon trajectory. The data point looks at today's planned and stated policies in relation to the emissions reduction pathway needed in order to meet global climate and energy transition goals. The purpose of the TA is to analyse the current and future emission intensity from the direct and indirect emission of a company (Scopes 1, 2 & 3), to evaluate which climate scenario it is aligned with until 2050.

The integration of this indicator into our ESG score is aimed to ensure that companies with high carbon intensity achieve a higher TA weight in the environmental (E) score. This allows us to differentiate between the high carbon entities who are transitioning early versus those which are not.

Temperature alignment data is provided by ISS.

Biodiversity programme

We believe it's vital that companies pro-actively consider and address issues of biodiversity to generate sustainable outcomes and value for all stakeholders. As set out on the [IPCC report](#), there are strong links between biodiversity loss and climate change, with the associated number of people at risk will progressively increase.

The Biodiversity Programme metric is an assessment of companies in relevant sub-sectors, based on the strength of their biodiversity programme and ability to manage biodiversity related risks within their business operations and across their value chain. The assessment goes beyond the company's own operations by linking up with its suppliers and their respective impact, overlaid by any level of controversy associated with the company.

Biodiversity data is provided by Sustainalytics.



Attracting and retaining the best talent, motivating them to be innovative, efficient and committed to the goal of the company is key for future success.

LGIM S score

Themes: Social diversity and human capital

A well-run company should seek to promote a diverse workplace, where employees are valued and appropriately rewarded.

Social diversity

We believe that companies that are representative of their employees and society, and which bring together a diversity of views, backgrounds, values and perspectives, have a better track record of innovation, decision-making and culture.

Having diverse companies also has macroeconomic benefits, as all talent within an economy is effectively utilised.

Gender has been chosen as a proxy for social diversity within a company. Data on gender is globally reported, provides an easily measured way to review total workforce and management levels, and can also serve as an indicator for a company’s overall approach, as companies with strong approaches to gender diversity are also likely to have a commitment to other types of diversity.

We recognise that some companies and sectors face challenges in attracting a diverse group of employees. Therefore, by looking at diversity across the different levels within a company, we seek to capture the development of a pipeline of talent. The social diversity theme tracks four indicators, looking at the percentage of:

- Women on the board
- Women at executive level
- Women in management
- Women in workforce

Across all four indicators, we consider 30% gender diversity as a minimum standard, with companies below this threshold receiving negative scores. We believe this represents a turning point within organisations, creating a critical mass that can influence change and impact the culture and practices of companies.

Having diversity across the workforce is important for the culture of the organisation and an indicator of the future talent pipeline for management. However, our scores show that in most sectors and regions, gender representation is higher in the general workforce than it is at more senior levels.

Social diversity data is provided by Refinitiv.

Human capital

People are the most important assets for any company. Attracting and retaining the best talent, motivating them to be innovative, efficient and committed to the goal of the company is key for future success. A number of indicators can allow investors to get a sense of how companies manage the risks and opportunities associated with their workforce. We have chosen to use the strength of companies’ social policies, checked against social incident rates, as proxies for how companies value, respect and support their employees and workforce, and how they promote a healthy and engaging work culture.

Policies

We utilise four human capital indicators to capture whether companies have sufficient policies in place with regards to:

- **Discrimination policy**
Attracting and supporting a diverse and inclusive workplace is critical to creating a working culture with diversity of thought to support decision-making. A strong policy against discrimination is a key element to achieving this objective.
- **Bribery and corruption policy**
Occurrences of bribery and corruption can indicate issues related to culture and employees; we look for reassurance that companies are managing these risks by implementing appropriate policies.
- **Freedom of association policy**
The ability of employees to freely form and join unions is a key component of a healthy work culture

- **Supply chain policy**
The strength of the supply chain is critical for most companies and it is a crucial component of applying consistent social standards across the businesses globally. We expect companies to have strong policies for their supplier relationships.

Across each policy category, companies who are deemed to have no formal policies in place receive a negative score. Companies with a formal policy in place receive a neutral score. Finally, companies with adequate to strong policies receive positive scores.

Incidents

We also incorporate incidents into this theme, as a high level of material incidents may indicate that current policies are either of poor quality or insufficiently enforced. As such, we consider:

- Employee
- Business ethics
- Supply chain

A penalty is applied to companies’ Human Capital policy score depending on the severity of the incident.

All human capital indicators are provided by Sustainalytics.

LGIM **G** score

Themes: Investor rights,
board composition and
audit quality

Strong governance practices such as independent boards support the functioning of a well-run company. We have a long history of promoting strong corporate governance on behalf of clients. While recognising that standards vary across markets, we believe there are universal corporate governance best practices to which all companies should adhere.

Investor rights

The ability of shareholders to vote is an important mechanism in the public equity markets, to demonstrate dissent and align the interests of the company and management to that of the owners. In contrast, a diminished ability to hold corporates to account weakens fundamental checks and balances.

Investor rights are therefore assessed based on two data points:


Equal voting rights

LGIM subscribes to the principle of ‘one share, one vote’, as control of a company should be proportional to the risk being borne by investors. We believe this is both a fundamental right of shareholders and an essential feature of good corporate governance. Without it, investors lack the ability to influence the companies they own and have a say in how their capital is being used.

Companies are tested against three criteria:

1. Does the company have dual-class stocks (e.g. class A/B shares)?
2. Does the company implement a voting cap or ownership restriction?
3. Do you have to own a minimum number of shares in order to vote?

If companies violate any of these three criteria, they are deemed to have unequal voting rights and receive a lower score.



LGIM subscribes to the principle of ‘one share, one vote’, as control of a company should be proportional to the risk being borne by investors.

Free float

The greater the number of shares held by disbursed shareholders (free float), the greater the opportunities for shareholders to use their voice for influence and impact. We encourage companies to have at least 50%.

Board composition

The board of directors is the primary structure setting corporate strategy and direction, overseeing management’s performance and approving the use of investor capital. Having the right composition at the top of a company is an essential element of its success. Maintaining strong corporate governance through a high quality and independent board dilutes the risk of power being concentrated in the hands of one or more people in an organisation and ensures there are appropriate levels of accountability.

This theme is composed of data on three indicators:



Independence of the chair

The chair leads the board, setting agendas for the discussion and ensuring the board has the right people and the right information required to make the best decisions and hold management accountable. As set out in our global voting policy, we therefore expect the chair to be independent upon appointment and throughout their tenure. We assess whether the chair is currently an executive or has been a former executive of the company. A high score is attributed to an independent chair.



Independent directors on the board

An independent board is critical in overseeing the management and capital of a company. We acknowledge that the structure of boards varies between companies and countries. As set out in our global voting policy, we believe that having a minimum of at least 30% independent directors is an essential safeguard for minority shareholders. Companies that fall below this threshold are penalised, while companies with a majority of independent directors are rewarded with top scores.



Board tenure

Regular refreshment of the board contributes to a continued independent board with the relevant skillsets. Regular refreshment can also assist in questioning established best practices and avoid ‘group think’. However, we equally recognise the value of retaining corporate knowledge within a board, therefore do not wish to see too frequent change. Our methodology reflects our global voting policy in that a lower score is attributed to boards with very high or very low board tenure.



Audit oversight

Having accurate and reliable financial information is the bedrock of investment decision-making and effective corporate governance.

Investors expect companies to demonstrate and explain the established processes and procedures to ensure the independence and robustness of the internal and external audit functions, and the level of oversight from the board.



Audit committee expertise

The audit committee plays a vital role in safeguarding investors’ interests. We expect all companies to have at least three independent members on the audit committee, including a “financial expert” as defined by the US Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules following the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Companies who fail to meet this minimum standard are penalised.



Non-audit fees paid to auditors

The extent to which auditors conduct non-audit work (i.e. consulting, IT support, etc.) for an audit client is an important proxy for independence.

Auditors should not audit their own work, and the higher margins available on the non-audit work may affect their willingness to negatively mark the accounts. We do not expect excessive non-audit work to be conducted by the company’s external auditors, as this will bring into question the independence of their judgement. In line with our global voting policy, our scoring methodology penalises companies when non-audit fees exceed 50% of companies’ audit-related fees.



Audit opinion of the accounts

An auditor’s opinion provides a view into the extent to which a company’s financial statements represent a “true and fair” view of a company’s financial performance and position. From a score perspective, we only assume that a company is compliant when the opinion is “unqualified” (i.e. a company’s financial statements are fairly and appropriately presented, without any exceptions, and in compliance with accounting standards). All other auditor opinions result in a negative score.

All governance indicators are provided by Refinitiv.

LGIM T score
Theme: Transparency

In addition to the traditional E, S and G metrics, LGIM also assesses companies on their overall transparency. Without access to comprehensive corporate data, investors are unable to properly assess material risks and opportunities related to their investments.

Our transparency score sets out our expectations with regards to:

ESG reporting standard

Analysing the company’s overall reporting on ESG matters and the extent to which it conforms to international standards as well as best practices.

Verification of ESG reporting standards

Assessing whether the company’s sustainability report has been externally verified according to a report assurance standard.

Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP)

Responding to relevant CDP questionnaires is an established best practice in carbon emissions reporting.

Tax disclosure

Assessing whether the company reports taxes paid in each country of operation. The best score requires full country-by- country reporting, a moderate score is given for when some but not all taxes are disclosed, while a low score indicates that tax disclosure is happening in only a few or none of the countries of operation.

Director disclosure

Assessing the level of disclosure regarding board directors, including directors’ biographies. This information is critical for investors in order to assess the skillsets and relevant experience of director nominees and the overall quality of the board of directors.

Remuneration disclosure

Disclosure of executive pay policy and practices is critical to allow proper analysis of the alignment between pay and performance and to ensure that the quantum of pay is both reasonable and within market standards.

Overall, a company whose disclosure is in line with market best practices will receive higher scores, whereas companies with poor or no disclosure against these measures will receive negative scores.

Assessments on transparency and disclosure are provided by Sustainalytics.



Score calculation

Each of the 30 data points are assessed and scored, creating a sub-score at the theme level.

Individual themes are then aggregated to form the environmental, social, governance and transparency scores.

Companies' final ESG scores are presented between 0 and 100. A high-scoring company will have met most of our criteria for best practice; a company scoring 0 has not met any of our minimum expectations and represents a very significant concern.

Company scores are updated twice a year in March and September.



FAQ

How do LGIM’s scores account for the different ESG characteristics of companies across industries/sectors?

We recognise the significant variability in the ESG profile of companies depending on their sector and operations. However, our scores focus on the market-wide standards we expect all companies to meet, irrespective of sector.

Why are certain themes or indicators not included?

- **Sector specificity:** some ESG themes and risks are only material to certain sectors. For example, water usage is an important consideration within the industrial sector, but is likely not to be a sufficiently material risk to the telecommunications or information technology sectors.
- **Consistency:** many ESG indicators lack consistent, globally accepted definitions. LGIM is supportive of efforts by international standard-setting bodies such as the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) to address this gap, but recognise that consistency in definitions and measurement approaches remains low for the majority of indicators.
- **Availability:** Although some ESG themes and risks may be both material and relevant to companies around the world, data availability is often poor. For example, employee satisfaction has been linked with improved long-term returns, but data points used to approximate this qualitative factor such as absenteeism rate and employee turnover remain sparse.

Will new indicators be added?

We are committed to regularly reviewing and refining our ESG scores. As data availability and reliability improve over time we will look to add new indicators where relevant. The proprietary nature of these scores means that LGIM can flexibly apply the scores as appropriate for different mandates or engagements.

How can companies amend their score?

We encourage companies to verify the accuracy of the data, which is sourced from the third-party data providers listed below. In cases of inaccuracy, we expect companies to first verify their own public disclosures and contact the data providers directly to rectify them. Contact details for our data providers can be found below.

HSBC
research@hsbcib.com

Sustainalytics
+44 (0) 20 3695 3484 or via <https://www.sustainalytics.com/get-in-touch/>

Refinitiv
+44 (0) 800 442 000 or via <https://my.refinitiv.com/content/mytr/en/helpandsupport.html>

ISS
<https://www.issgovernance.com/>

If you would like to find out more information about LGIM’s policies on ESG, please visit our Corporate Governance website: <http://www.lgim.com/uk/en/capabilities/corporate-governance/>

Contact us

For further information about LGIM, please visit lgim.com or contact your usual LGIM representative



Key risks

Past performance is not a guide to the future. The value of an investment and any income taken from it is not guaranteed and can go down as well as up, you may not get back the amount you originally invested.

Important information

The information contained in this document (the 'Information') has been prepared by LGIM Managers Europe Limited ('LGIM Europe'), or by its affiliates ('Legal & General', 'we' or 'us'). Such Information is the property and/or confidential information of Legal & General and may not be disclosed by you to any other person without the prior written consent of Legal & General.

No party shall have any right of action against Legal & General in relation to the accuracy or completeness of the Information, or any other written or oral information made available in connection with this publication. Any investment advice that we provide to you is based solely on the limited initial information which you have provided to us. No part of this or any other document or presentation provided by us shall be deemed to constitute 'proper advice' for the purposes of the Investment Intermediaries Act 1995 (as amended). Any limited initial advice given relating to professional services will be further discussed and negotiated in order to agree formal investment guidelines which will form part of written contractual terms between the parties.

The Information has been produced for use by a professional investor and their advisors only. It should not be distributed without our permission.

A summary of investor rights associated with an investment in the Fund shall be available from www.lgim.com/investor_rights.

The risks associated with each fund or investment strategy are set out in this publication, its KIID, the relevant prospectus or investment management agreement (as applicable) and these should be read and understood before making any investment decisions. A copy of the English version of the prospectus of the Fund and the key investor information document relating to the Fund is available on [lgim.com](https://www.lgim.com) and may also be obtained from your Client Relationship Manager. Where required under national rules, the key investor information document will also be available in the local language of the relevant EEA Member State.

Confidentiality and limitations:

Unless otherwise agreed by Legal & General in writing, the Information in this document (a) is for information purposes only and we are not soliciting any action based on it, and (b) is not a recommendation to buy or sell securities or pursue a particular investment strategy; and (c) is not investment, legal, regulatory or tax advice. Any trading or investment decisions taken by you should be based on your own analysis and judgment (and/or that of your professional advisors) and not in reliance on us or the Information. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we exclude all representations, warranties, conditions, undertakings and all other terms of any kind, implied by statute or common law, with respect to the Information including (without limitation) any representations as to the quality, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the Information.

Any projections, estimates or forecasts included in the Information (a) shall not constitute a guarantee of future events, (b) may not consider or reflect all possible future events or conditions relevant to you (for example, market disruption events); and (c) may be based on assumptions or simplifications that may not be relevant to you.

The Information is provided 'as is' and 'as available'. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Legal & General accepts no liability to you or any other recipient of the Information for any loss, damage or cost arising from, or in connection with, any use or reliance on the Information. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Legal & General does not accept any liability for any indirect, special or consequential loss howsoever caused and, on any theory, or liability, whether in contract or tort (including negligence) or otherwise, even if Legal & General has been advised of the possibility of such loss.

A decision may be taken at any time to terminate the arrangements made for the marketing of the Fund in any EEA Member State in which it is currently marketed. In such circumstances, investors in the affected EEA member state will be notified of this decision and will be provided with the opportunity to redeem their shareholding in the Fund free of any charges or deductions for at least 30 working days from the date of such notification.

Third party data:

Where this document contains third party data ('Third Party Data'), we cannot guarantee the accuracy, completeness or reliability of such Third-Party Data and accept no responsibility or liability whatsoever in respect of such Third-Party Data.

Publication, amendments and updates:

We are under no obligation to update or amend the Information or correct any errors in the Information following the date it was delivered to you. Legal & General reserves the right to update this document and/or the Information at any time and without notice.

Although the Information contained in this document is believed to be correct as at the time of printing or publication, no assurance can be given to you that this document is complete or accurate in the light of information that may become available after its publication. The Information may not take into account any relevant events, facts or conditions that have occurred after the publication or printing of this document.

Telephone recording:

As required under applicable laws Legal & General will record all telephone and electronic communications and conversations with you that result or may result in the undertaking of transactions in financial instruments on your behalf. Such records will be kept for a period of five years (or up to seven years upon request from the Central Bank of Ireland (or such successor from time to time)) and will be provided to you upon request.

In the United Kingdom and outside the European Economic Area, it is issued by Legal & General Investment Management Limited, authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, No. 119272. Registered in England and Wales No. 02091894 with registered office at One Coleman Street, London, EC2R 5AA.

In the European Economic Area, it is issued by LGIM Managers (Europe) Limited, authorised by the Central Bank of Ireland as a UCITS management company (pursuant to European Communities (Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. No. 352 of 2011), as amended) and as an alternative investment fund manager with "top up" permissions which enable the firm to carry out certain additional MiFID investment services (pursuant to the European Union (Alternative Investment Fund Managers) Regulations 2013 (S.I. No. 257 of 2013), as amended). Registered in Ireland with the Companies Registration Office (No. 609677). Registered Office: 70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin, 2, Ireland. Regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland (No. C173733).

LGIM Managers (Europe) Limited operates a branch network in the European Economic Area, which is subject to supervision by the Central Bank of Ireland. In Italy, the branch office of LGIM Managers (Europe) Limited is subject to limited supervision by the Commissione Nazionale per le società e la Borsa ("CONSOB") and is registered with Banca d'Italia (no. 23978.0) with registered office at Via Uberto Visconti di Modrone, 15, 20122 Milan, (Companies' Register no. MI - 2557936). In Germany, the branch office of LGIM Managers (Europe) Limited is subject to limited supervision by the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority ("BaFin"). In the Netherlands, the branch office of LGIM Managers (Europe) Limited is subject to limited supervision by the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets ("AFM") and it is included in the register held by the AFM and registered with the trade register of the Chamber of Commerce under number 74481231. Details about the full extent of our relevant authorisations and permissions are available from us upon request. For further information on our products (including the product prospectuses), please visit our website.

© 2022 Legal & General Investment Management Limited. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the publishers.